

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,135	07/23/2001	Darrell Pope	74218/13334	2385
75	90 04/20/2004		EXAM	INER
JAMES C. SCOTT, ESQ.			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
ROETZEL & A	NDRESS			
1375 E. 9TH STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE CLEVELAND CENTER, 10TH FLOOR			1732	
CLEVELAND,	OH 44114		DATE MAILED: 04/20/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Annlicant(s)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/911,135	POPE, DARRELL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732			
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ic con coponacinos autirios			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	Expanto quelyo, 1000 cuer 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	n priority under 35 H.S.C. & 11	9(a)-(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		eived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		eived			
See the attached detailed Office action for a lic	a of the continue copies not rec				
Attachment(s)	" <b>–</b>	··· (DTO 442)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/911,135

Art Unit: 1732

1.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maus et al –769 in view of Japanese document 61-66623, either alone, or further in view of Ratkowski essentially for reasons of record as set forth in paragraph 1 of the previous action.

2.Applicant's arguments filed January 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant notes that the process claims were allowed without amendment in the parent case. However, the instant claims are directed to a product, not a process, albeit they are set forth in product-by-process language. Notwithstanding the prosecution in the parent case, it is submitted that the instant product is met by the combination of references as applied. While the processes disclosed therein may not exactly teach the instant process, it is submitted that they are close enough to form a product which the instant product would have been obvious over. The patentability of product claims is not dependent on process steps but rather structural or optical characteristics which the prior art would not meet. In the instant case, it is submitted that the instant product limitations are indeed met or obvious over the prior art for reasons already set forth.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,135

Art Unit: 1732

3.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on 571 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/911,135

Art Unit: 1732

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot April 17, 2004 Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

4/17/04